



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,445	12/31/2003	Bernd Lenz	460868.00019	8968
26710 75	90 04/11/2005		EXAMINER	
QUARLES & BRADY LLP 411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE			JULES, FRANTZ F	
SUITE 2040 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2005	DATE MAILED: 04/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/749,445	LENZ, BERND				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Frantz F. Jules	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminar				
·- • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. ,— ,					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
	ammer. Note the attached office	Action of 101111 1 10-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	<u> </u>	ratent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 03302005				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2, 7 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 2, line 3, the phrase "a capacitor that then" should be deleted to improve the clarity of the claim language.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 8-9, 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagel (US 4,619,425).

Nagel discloses a method for the transmission of information between a track and a vehicle located on the track in a model railroad system as disclose in col 1, lines 6-12, said method comprising using at least one capacitor that exists between the vehicle and the track for the transmission of information in the event of a loss of electrical contact between the vehicle and the track since Nagel discloses in col 1, lines 23-23 existing use of capacitors between the track and the rail, and detecting the information transmitted via said capacitor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/749.445

Art Unit: 3617

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagel (US 4,619,425).

Regarding using a plurality of capacitors including additional contact pick-up areas as recited in claims 3 and 10, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Nagel to include the use of a plurality of capacitors including additional contact pick-up areas in his advantageous system, as the duplication /reduction of identical parts which perform essentially the same function is a common occurrence throughout the switch box for railway design arts, and the specific number of capacitors and contact areas used would have been an obvious duplication of parts, depending upon such common factors as the load rating of the capacitors, the targeted speed of the train, the length of the vehicle; the ordinarily skilled artisan choosing the best number of capacitors and contact areas which would most optimize the cost and performance of the device for a particular application at hand, based upon the above noted common design criteria.

6. Claims 4-7, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagel (US 4,619,425) in view of Brown et al (US 5,485,977).

Nagel teaches all the limitations of claims 4-7 except for a model railroad train comprising square wave voltage information signal and evaluating superimposed spikes

Art Unit: 3617

from the capacitors. The general concept of providing square wave voltage information signal and evaluating superimposed spikes from the capacitors to a method of transmitting information is well known in the art as illustrated by Brown et al which Discloses the teaching of square wave voltage information signal and evaluating superimpoised DC voltave spikes from the capacitors in col 1, lines 9-49, col 2, lines 29-41. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Nagel to include the use of square wave voltage information signal and evaluating superimposed voltage spikes from the capacitors in his advantageous method of Transmission of information system as taught by Brown et al in order to provide a clean sine wave which produce sufficiently reduced low harmonic-frequency spectral pollution from other noise.

Claim 13

Regarding using an AC voltage source as recited in claim 13, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Nagel and Brown et al to include the use an AC voltage source in his advantageous system, as input power supply source is a common and everyday occurrence throughout the method of transmission of information design art and the specific use of an AC voltage source would have been an obvious matter of design preference depending upon such factors as the electrical loading rating of the capacitors, the targeted speed of the train, the available energy source; the ordinarily skilled artisan choosing the best stress profile corresponding to a particular loading imposed on the capacitors which would most optimize the cost and performance of the system for a particular application

at hand, based upon the above noted common design criteria.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Lenz, Laurent et al, Mimoun and Jaeger are cited to show related method of transmission of information to a vehicle by supplying information through the tracks.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz F. Jules whose telephone number is (703) 308-8780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph S. Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3617

Frantz F. Jules Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

FFJ

March 30, 2005

FRANTZ F. JULES
PRIMARY EXAMINER